

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

May 15, 2020

The Honorable Ajit Pai, Chairman
The Honorable Michael O’Rielly, Commissioner
The Honorable Jessica Rosenworcel, Commissioner
The Honorable Geoffrey Starks, Commissioner
The Honorable Brendan Carr, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: LightSquared Technical Working Group Report, LightSquared License Modification Applications, IBFS FS Files Nos. SAT-MOD-20120928-00160, -00161, SES-MOD-20121001-00872, New LightSquared License Modification Applications IBFS File Nos. SES-MOD-20151231-00981, SAT-MOD-20151231-00090, and SATMOD-20151231-00091, Ligado Amendment to License Modification Applications IBFS File Nos. SES-MOD-20151231-00981, SAT-MOD-20151231-00090, and SATMOD-20151231-00091(the “Modification Applications”); IB Docket Nos. 12-340, 11-109, FCC 20-48

Dear Commissioners:

We write to express our concerns with the Order announced by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on April 20, 2020 granting Ligado’s application to deploy a terrestrial nationwide network to provide 5G services. We are concerned that the Order does not adequately protect adjacent band operations—including those related to the Global Positioning System (GPS) and satellite communications—from harmful interference that would impact countless commercial and military activities. Furthermore, the hurried nature of the circulation and consideration of the Order itself—during a national crisis, no less—was not conducive to addressing the many technical concerns raised by affected stakeholders.

As you know, this is not the first time the FCC has considered proposals from Ligado or its predecessor companies. Past Orders conditioned Ligado’s spectrum use on addressing GPS interference concerns, and those concerns have not gone away. With this specific docket item pending before the FCC for almost 10 years, we are concerned with the pace by the Commission to push through an Order first announced on April 16, the approval for which was declared two business days later. We believe this accelerated timeline was not adequate to address the significant stakeholder concerns for an Order of this magnitude.

Many of these concerns center around the significant risks the Ligado proposal poses to GPS functions and satellite communications. You understand how important reliable GPS and satellite communications are to our economic and national security. American families rely on its free precise timing and navigation for thousands of functions every day, including banking, logistics, agriculture, weather, and travel. The aviation community uses GPS and satellite communications for navigation, terrain and obstacle avoidance, and aircraft tracking necessary to safely operate commercial, business, military, and emergency response aviation activities, including medevac

May 15, 2020

and aerial firefighting. The agriculture industry uses GPS to facilitate precision-based practices that have facilitated dramatic improvements in productivity. The trucking and logistics industries rely on GPS for route planning and tracking of shipments and equipment to safely and efficiently move freight throughout the country. FCC's actions also threaten weather satellites, crucial to saving lives and property when tornadoes, hurricanes and floods strike. GPS also forms the backbone of countless military operations and applications.

We are concerned that the FCC has discounted testing and assessments conducted by nine federal agencies in the Interdepartment Radio Advisory Committee process—all expressing concerns that the Ligado plan would interfere with millions of GPS receivers and satellite services across the nation. Further, the FCC did not provide a technical forum to resolve the significant disconnects between this testing and Ligado's privately funded testing. Given the enormous impact this Order will have on our national GPS network and satellite communications services, we have significant reservations with the decision to move forward with this Order without a thorough and inclusive public discussion of technical risk.


Additionally, the Order's processes for remediation and mitigation of interference to GPS users remain unclear and wholly inadequate to a technology of this importance to the American way of life. Furthermore, creating an unclear and ill-defined standard based on a limited set of tests would impact GPS and satellite communications users dependent on them for safety of life operations. Setting a standard of not causing interference does not guarantee the performance and safety of their use with statistical certainty. A data driven performance based standard for what constitutes harmful interference guarantees the need for case-by-case reviews—which will require detailed technical consideration of geographic, temporal, atmospheric and other unique factors that could change on a daily if not hourly basis. With the FCC as the adjudicator of these case-by-case harmful interference disputes, we question whether such a process can realistically be expected to impartially review and take action on each dispute that arises across a nationwide network within a meaningful time period.

We are committed to ensuring that the United States continues its efforts to lead 5G development and deployment. However, we fear that the Ligado decision will actually slow ongoing work between the U.S. Department of Defense and leading 5G developers, in addition to interfering with the operation of GPS. For these reasons, we urge the Federal Communications Commission to immediately stay and reconsider their Order on this matter, more fully consider the technical concerns raised by numerous federal agencies and private sector stakeholders, and outline a path forward that adequately addresses these concerns.

Sincerely,



James M. Inhofe
United States Senator



Jack Reed
United States Senator

May 15, 2020



John Barrasso M.D.
United States Senator



Michael F. Bennet
United States Senator




John Boozman
United States Senator



Susan M. Collins
United States Senator



Kevin Cramer
United States Senator



Tammy Duckworth
United States Senator




Michael B. Enzi
United States Senator



Joni K. Ernst
United States Senator



Deb Fischer
United States Senator



Chuck Grassley
United States Senator



Mazie K. Hirono
United States Senator




John Hoeven
United States Senator

May 15, 2020



Cindy Hyde-Smith
United States Senator



Doug Jones
United States Senator



Angus S. King, Jr.
United States Senator



Joe Manchin III
United States Senator



Martha McSally
United States Senator



Lisa Murkowski
United States Senator




David Perdue
United States Senator



Gary C. Peters
United States Senator




Rob Portman
United States Senator



M. Michael Rounds
United States Senator



Pat Roberts
United States Senator




Rick Scott
United States Senator

May 15, 2020



Jeanne Shaheen
United States Senator



Kyrsten Sinema
United States Senator



Dan Sullivan
United States Senator



Sheldon Whitehouse
United States Senator



Bill Cassidy, M.D.
United States Senator



Maria Cantwell
United States Senator