PNT as A Single Point of Failure for Critical Infrastructure – The Problem and Solutions

Professor David Last Dana Goward Resilient Navigation & Timing Foundation

> Critical Infrastructure Protection & Resilience Europe 2018 Den Haag, Netherlands 2-4 October 2018

Picture: earthobservatory. Nasa. gov//newsroom/BlueMarble/



Global Navigation Space Systems: reliance and vulnerabilities



- GPS and other satellite navigation services ... have applications so pervasive that there is now a real threat to global security if the systems should fail.
- GNSS signals are used internationally by almost every industry: rail, road, aviation, space, maritime, agriculture, energy, surveying, construction, law enforcement and communications.
  - Dependence on GNSS connects many
    independent services into an
    `accidental system' with a single point
    of failure: the satellite PNT signal.
- A satellite signal is a weak foundation for important services ... and can fail in dozens of ways.

Source: www.ingenia.org.uk/ingenia/issues/issue43/issue43\_opinion.pdf (Dr Martyn Thomas)







**IRNSS (India)** 

GLONASS (Russia) Compass-Beidou (China)



QZSS (Japan)

Japan)GALILEO (Europe)

#### ... plus all the augmentations:



### **GNSS: Global Navigation Satellite Systems**

# **Satellite and Control System Failures**

# GPS SVN23 clock failure

Official announcement: "A significant GPS anomaly occurred on 1 Jan 04... (which) ... resulted in the transmission of Hazardously Misleading Information."



Sources: Vogel, Macabiau & Suard, 'Effect of a GPS Anomaly on Different GNSS Receivers', ION GNSS 2005, Long Beach, CA, Sep 13-16, 2005; www.thedigitalship.com, GLA



GLONASS disruption caused location errors of up to 55km



#### GPS significantly impacted by powerful solar radio burst

NOAA NEWS RELEASE Posted: April 4, 2007



"The burst produced 20,000 times more radio emission than the ... rest of the sun ... and swamped GPS receivers over the entire sunlit side of the Earth."

© David Last



Picture: www.flickr.com/photos/l23productions/1451697290/

© David Last

# B B C NEWS

# UK radio disturbance caused by satellite network bug

An error with the Global Positioning System (GPS) network has been blamed for causing problems with digital radio broadcasts last week.

.............

| GPS        | Anom            | aly    | Eve                 | ent - 26 Janua  | ry 2          | 201            | 6     |        |          |             |       |         |              |             |     |
|------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|---|---------------|----------------|-------|--------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----|
|            |                 | Event  | Summar              | y Table   | 5.00<br>usec  |                |       |        |          |             |       | -       |              |             |     |
|            | Network Type    | Region | Qty GPS<br>Elements | Notes   |               |                | T     |        |          |             | -     |         |              | AM          | 0.0 |
| Customer A | Fixed Line      | UK     | Large               | Generated nearly 2000 alarms and standing<br>condition events throughout duration   | 2.50          |                | 1     |        |          |             |       |         | AA           |             |     |
| Customer B | Transport Comms | UK     | Small               | Customer in panic mode as systems in holdover   | usec/div      |                | 1     |        |          |             | +     |         | 1 1          | +           |     |
| Customer C | Fixed Line      | Global | Large               | Nearly 2500 alarms generated during event.<br>Roughly 40 elements entered holdover due to lack<br>of backup inputs.                           |               |                |       |        |          |             |       |         | $\mathbb{A}$ | M           |     |
| Customer D | Fixed Line      | UK     | Small               | Element in holdover   | ]             |                |       |        |          |             |       |         |              |             |     |
| Customer E | Transport Comms | UK     | Small               | TimeSource only systems. Caused local switches to<br>go into free run.  | -20.0<br>usec | 8.000<br>hours |       |        | 1.       | 00 hours/di | ~     |         |              | 21.0<br>hou | 10  |
| Customer F | Mobile          | UK     | Medium              | No adverse impact. All systems have backup<br>network feeds and Rb clocks   |               |                |       |        |          |             |       |         |              |             |     |
| Customer G | Private Network | UK     | Small               | System backed up by Caesium   |               |                |       |        |          |             |       | -       |              |             | -   |
| Customer H | Mobile          | UK     | Medium              | Difficult to determine number of affected elements<br>but majority of elements have backup sync feeds<br>taken from another Telecom operator. | W             | 10             | ʻld   | d      | odg      | jes         | 5 G   | iPS     | bl           | 1116        | et  |
| Customer I | Fixed Line      | Sweden | Medium              | Affected all SSU 2000 units   |               |                |       |        |          |             |       |         |              |             |     |
| Customer J | Mobile          | UK     | Medium              | Some TimeSource inputs reporting high MTIE and<br>MTIE alarms on SSU2000  |               |                |       |        |          |             |       |         |              |             |     |
| Customer K | Mobile          | UK     | Medium              | All SSU2000 disqualified GPS inputs. Systems<br>reverted to line timing traceable to another carrier  |               |                |       |        |          |             |       |         |              |             |     |
|            |                 |        |                     |   |               | Sou            | rces: | bbc.co | o.uk, ch | ronos.      | co.uk | , gpswc | rld.co       | n           |     |



#### Product Description

Are you sick of being tracked like a criminal? This certain device is a gorgeous GPS jammer which can totally solve your troubles. The can prohibit signals from tracking your current location; pay a private space for you. All of this owes to the high power portable GPS (GPS L1/L2/L3/L4/L5) jammer.



© David Last



# N. Korea accused of jamming commercial flight signals

By Julie Yoo, NBC News in Seoul, and msnbc.com news services



#### Low-cost spoofer devices can now seize control of GNSS receivers, forcing them to show any location or time a criminal or terrorist chooses.

HailOnline



News U.S. | Sport | TV&Showbiz | Femail | Health | Science | Money | RightMinds | Coffee Bre Home

Researchers show how a major GPS flaw could allow terrorists and hackers to hijack commercial ships and planes



Forbes / Tech

AUG 7, 2015 @ 8:40 PM 9,329 VIEWS

Hacking A Phone's GPS May Have Just Got Easier



A generator trip in an automatic control scheme could be falsely activated by the GPS spoofing, possibly leading to cascading faults and a large-scale power blackout.

Pictures: dailymail.co.uk, gpsworld.com. Forbes.com



#### New Ship-based System Takes Out GPS Jamming Threat

PC Advisor

Monday, March 11, 2013

With GPS jamming a growing worry for UK shipping, a new device seamlessly switches systems to counter the navigational menace. Government Office for Science



# What we do

We advise the Prime Minister and members of the Cabinet, to ensure that government policies and decisions are informed by the best scientific evidence and strategic long-term thinking.

We are responsible for:

 giving scientific advice to the Prime Minister and members of the Cabinet, through a programme of projects that reflect the priorities of the <u>Government Chief Scientific Adviser</u>

# **Professor Sir Mark Walport**



Economic impact to the UK of a disruption to GNSS

Showcase Report

April 2017









Given the ... widespread use (including safety-critical applications) and the vulnerability of GNSS:

What would happen if GNSS were not available, temporarily?

#### **Estimate: the economic impact:**

- lost Gross-Value Added (GVA)
- loss of utility benefits, including damages

Assume: the disruption to GNSS is a standalone event (agnostic as to its source)

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/atta chment\_data/file/619545/17.3254\_Economic\_impact\_to\_UK \_of\_a\_disruption\_to\_GNSS\_-\_Showcase\_Report.pdf

FINAL

# The economic impact to the UK of a 5 day disruption of GNSS is estimated at £5.2Bn (\$7.1Bn).



Table 1 Summary of economic loss to the UK as a result of a five-day loss of GNSS

| Domain                               | Applications   | RAG | Loss of<br>GVA<br>(£m) | Loss of<br>utility<br>(£m) | Total loss<br>for five<br>days (£m) |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Road                                 | Road transport infrastructure<br>Road navigation / Advanced Driver Advisory Systems<br>Logistics and fleet management<br>Insurance telematics<br>Emergency and breakdown call                          |     | 24.2                   | 1,896.0                    | 1,920.2                             |
| Rail                                 | Rail transport infrastructure<br>Passenger information systems<br>Asset management<br>Driver advisory systems  |     | 94.9                   | 15.5                       | 110.4                               |
| Aviation                             | Automatic Dependent Surveillance - Broadcast system<br>Air transport infrastructure<br>Navigation under visual flight rules<br>Cospas-Sarsat search-and-rescue (SAR) system<br>Mobile satcoms          |     | 0.1                    | 0.3                        | 0.4                                 |
| Maritime                             | Maritime transport infrastructure<br>Navigation and shipping<br>Search and rescue applications<br>Fishing<br>Recreational boating  |     | 1,103.7                | 0.1                        | 1,103.8                             |
| Food                                 | CAP and CFP compliance monitoring<br>Cultivation<br>Livestock tracking, hunting and silviculture   |     | 151.6                  | 4.3                        | 155.7                               |
| Emergency<br>and justice<br>services | TETRA<br>Public-safety answering point<br>Emergency vehicles<br>Offender tracking  |     | 0.4                    | 1,531.5                    | 1,531.9                             |
| Surveying                            | Cadastral surveying<br>Mapping<br>Mining<br>Construction (person and machine-based)<br>Marine surveying<br>Infrastructure monitoring   |     | 344.8                  | -                          | 344.8                               |
| LBS                                  | Smartphones<br>Pedestrian navigation<br>Fitness tracking   |     | -                      | 0.8                        | 0.8                                 |
| Other<br>infrastructure              | Transport of dangerous or classified goods<br>Telecommunications – fixed-line & cellular<br>Broadcast – DVB & DAB<br>Internet data centres<br>Electricity transmission<br>Fixed-location noise loggers |     | 0.7                    | 2.3                        | 3.0                                 |
| Other                                | Banking and stock exchanges<br>Weather forecasting<br>People tracking<br>LEO satellites and ground stations<br>Timesheets and billable hours   |     | 2.5                    | 1.1                        | 2.6                                 |
| Total                                |  |     | 1,721.9                | 3,451.8                    | 5,173.6                             |

The use of GNSS by road, emergency and justice services, plus maritime, accounts for 88% of all economic impacts.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/upl oads/attachment\_data/file/619545/17.3254\_Economi c\_impact\_to\_UK\_of\_a\_disruption\_to\_GNSS\_-\_Showcase\_Report.pdf

## Road

| Domain | Applications  | RAG | Loss of<br>GVA<br>(£m) | Loss of<br>utility<br>(£m) | Total loss<br>for five<br>days (£m) |
|--------|---|-----|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Road   | Road transport infrastructure<br>Road navigation / Advanced Driver Advisory Systems<br>Logistics and fleet management<br>Insurance telematics<br>Emergency and breakdown call |     | 24.2                   | 1,896.0                    | 1,920.2                             |

• Navigation devices for road applications fail.

- GNSS-dependent drivers (particularly delivery and cab drivers) lose their preferred method of navigation.
- Congestion and journey times increase for all drivers – including commuters who know their routes.
- *\$2700 million*

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/619545/17.3254\_Economic\_impact\_to \_UK\_of\_a\_disruption\_to\_GNSS\_-\_Showcase\_Report.pdf

### **Emergency and Justice Services**

| Domain                               | Applications  | RAG | Loss of<br>GVA<br>(£m) | Loss of<br>utility<br>(£m) | Total loss<br>for five<br>days (£m) |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Emergency<br>and justice<br>services | TETRA<br>Public-safety answering point<br>Emergency vehicles<br>Offender tracking |     | 0.4                    | 1,531.5                    | 1,531.9                             |

- Services severely impacted, struggle to cope with demand.
- Longer emergency calls due to less efficient dispatching and navigation plus congested roads.
- *\$2200 million*

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/619545/17.3254\_Economic\_impact\_to \_UK\_of\_a\_disruption\_to\_GNSS\_-\_Showcase\_Report.pdf

## Maritime

| Domain   | Applications  | RAG | Loss of<br>GVA<br>(£m) | Loss of<br>utility<br>(£m) | Total loss<br>for five<br>days (£m) |
|----------|---|-----|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Maritime | Maritime transport infrastructure<br>Navigation and shipping<br>Search and rescue applications<br>Fishing<br>Recreational boating |     | 1,103.7                | 0.1                        | 1,103.8                             |

- Disruption to all ports and the loading and unloading of containers for 5 days
- Factories relying on just-in-time deliveries run out of inputs within 1 day
- All goods imported by bulk container or vehicle severely delayed, causing immediate impacts far beyond the maritime industry.
- *\$1600 million*

 $https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/619545/17.3254\_Economic_impact\_to \_UK_of_a_disruption\_to\_GNSS\_-\_Showcase\_Report.pdf$ 

#### **Mitigation Technologies and Strategies**

- Alternatives to GNSS, specific to each application
- No universally-applicable alternative for positioning and navigation
- Higher quality (more expensive) oscillators for timing
- *"The most applicable mitigation strategies for the largest number of applications are eLoran and Satelles"*
- *"Omnisense and Locata may be preferred for localised applications that require high levels of accuracy"*

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/619545/17.3254\_Economic\_impact\_to \_UK\_of\_a\_disruption\_to\_GNSS\_-\_Showcase\_Report.pdf

#### **The Contribution of UK Public Funding**

- "GNSS is characterised by a number of market failures that mean that there is a strong economic case for government intervention."
- "This includes large benefits for society that are estimated to be between £4 and £5 per £1 of public investment."
- "The UK's ... downstream investments [in GNSS] since 2000 have ... unlocked significant benefits to end-users and the rest of the society that would have been lost without UK funding."



Somerset House, New Wing, Strand, London, WC2R 1LA, United Kingdom Iondoneconomics.co.uk/aerospace space@Iondoneconomics.co.uk +44 (0)20 3701 7700 @LE\_Aerospace

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/619545/17.3254\_Economic\_impact\_to \_UK\_of\_a\_disruption\_to\_GNSS\_-\_Showcase\_Report.pdf



#### Office of the Government Chief Scientific Adviser, Sir Mark Walport

Aims: "... to lay out the breadth, scale and implications of our reliance on 'the invisible utility' mainly in terms of existing critical national infrastructure (CNI)."

Ministerial Foreword: "This review represents a vital step in understanding the UK's dependency on GNSS and recommends measures to improve our resilience. Importantly, it also recognises that innovation will be key to realising, fully and safely, the economic and societal benefits offered by GNSS."

#### **Blackett Reviews**

The Government Chief Scientific Advisor (GCSA) has established a process for government to engage with academia and industry to answer specific scientific and/or technical questions primarily in the security domain. These Blackett Reviews provide fresh, multi-disciplinary thinking in a specific area. In each review, a small panel of 10-12 experts is tasked with answering a well defined question or set of questions of relevance to a challenging technical problem.



November 1897 – 13 July 1974) was an English experimental physicist known for his work on cloud chambers, cosmic rays, and paleomagnetism, winning the Nobel Prize for Physics in 1948.<sup>[4]</sup> He also made a major contribution in World War II advising on military strategy and developing operational research.



Patrick Blackett, ca. 1950

https://issuu.com/go-science/stacks/6fffc9d084dc4b45bd49bd11fde756c1

#### **Recommendations summarised:**

1. CNI operators to review and report on their reliance on GNSS. Cabinet Office to assess overall dependence of CNI on GNSS.

2. Add loss or compromise of GNSS-derived PNT to National Risk Assessment, not just as a dimension of space weather.

3. In allocating radio spectrum to new services and applications, address the risk of interference to GNSS-dependent users, including CNI.

4. Review the legality of the sale, ownership and use of devices and software to cause deliberate interference to GNSS receivers or signals.

5. Assess the need to monitor interference of GNSS at key sites such as ports and share the data with government

6. Employ GNSS-independent back-up systems.

7. Cross-government PNT Working Group to report to Cabinet Office on ways to improve national resilience.

#### **Recommendations summarised:**

8. Government to facilitate as those procuring GNSS equipment for CNI specify performance standards.

9. Map PNT testing facilities and explore how industry and critical services can better access them.

10. Leverage UK academic and industrial expertise in time and geo-location, increasing coordination among existing centres of excellence.

#### Mitigations by sector

| Sector             | Mitigations   |
|--------------------|---|
| Telecoms           | The first line of defence is <b>resilient architecture</b> with diverse<br>network routing to high stability atomic clocks in the core of<br>the network and localised <b>holdover</b> at the edge. In the future<br>multiple sources of time will be required for 4G/5G services.<br>Back-up to GNSS would be a <b>terrestrial radio system</b> . If UTC<br>traceability is required <b>time by fibre</b> could be considered at key<br>locations. |
| Finance            | The multi-constellation receivers used today experience<br>common GNSS vulnerabilities, and their different UTC sources<br>hamper traceability. Holdover devices provide mitigation, but<br>errors increase with time. Time by fibre offers traceability to<br>UTC. Some organisations are considering a terrestrial radio<br>system.   |
| Energy             | As with telecoms, better <b>holdover</b> with atomic clocks is one<br>option, along with GNSS based Precision Time Protocol<br>(Chapter1). GNSS <b>integrity monitoring</b> , or a <b>terrestrial radio</b><br><b>system</b> back-up, would improve timing resilience. National grid is<br>also considering <b>time by fibre</b> .  |
| Emergency Services | Emergency services would benefit from multi-frequency and<br>multi-constellation receivers with backup navigation from<br>inertial navigation and terrestrial radio systems. Emergency<br>service operators' on-screen maps could allow manual shifting of<br>vehicle positions.  |

| Sector   | Mitigations   |
|----------|---|
| Road     | Research is underway to identify <b>signals of opportunity</b> with<br>high positioning accuracy, independent of GNSS. <b>Composite</b><br><b>or hybrid navigation</b> can be used in GNSS outage areas. An<br>alternative, intelligent urban positioning, matches the shadows<br>of buildings to 3D maps Interference can be mitigated using<br>the same detection techniques as for aviation. <b>Terrestrial radio</b><br><b>systems</b> have been successfully demonstrated on land. |
| Rail     | Space weather forecasting will help mitigate ionospheric<br>effects. GNSS positions can be validated using accelerometers,<br>gyroscopes, odometers and trackside radio beacons. Detection,<br>in the form of a dedicated trackside augmentation network, could<br>pick up ionospheric anomalies and interference. Terrestrial<br>radio systems have been successfully demonstrated.  |
| Maritime | Ships must carry a GNSS-based electronic positioning/navigation<br>system. The only back-ups may be visual navigation and radar.<br>Harbour and coastal authorities are interested in <b>detection</b> of<br>interference using local GNSS monitoring systems. At sea and in<br>ports eLoran meets international standards.   |
| Aviation | Multi-frequency receivers, improved space weather<br>forecasting and differential GNSS using Extended GBAS<br>would help mitigate ionospheric effects. A system of interference<br>detection stations would mitigate interference and jamming. A<br>terrestrial radio system back-up would maximise safety.   |

It is important to the UK Government therefore that an alternative to these satellite systems, which does not suffer from the same vulnerabilities, is established. Your letter and report notes that Enhanced Loran (eLoran), being a technologically dissimilar system, provides just such a resilient alternative to satellite systems. The UK Government is therefore supportive of any progress towards initiating and maintaining an operational eLoran network that can provide position, navigation and timing services and will lend support where appropriate to aid its establishment and continued use.

| 1.848   | Caroline Nokes MP<br>Parliamentary Secretary<br>Colicient Office |
|---|--|
| 893   | 70 Whitehall   |
| Cabinet Office  | London<br>SW1A 2AS   |
|   | Web www.cabinetoffice.cov.uk                                     |
| Dr Ruth McKeman CBE                                       |  |
| North Star House  |  |
| North Star Avenue   |  |
| Wiltebire SN2 11 IE                                       |  |
| (SENT VIA EMAIL)  |  |
|   |  |
|   | / >3uly 2017   |
| Dea Dr. Mckeman   |  |
| Re. Publication of 'Economic impact to the UK of          | a disruption to GNSS' report                                     |
| I write to thank you for your letter of the 28th June hig | phlighting the recent study from Innovate UK and                 |
| others into the economic impact to the UK of a disru      | ption to Global Navigation and Satellite Systems                 |
| (GNSS), available on the GOV.UK website here.             |  |
| I share your interest and concern regarding this issue    | and thank you for pursuing this important work.                  |
| As Minister with responsibility for the resilience of th  | e UK's infrastructure, I am acutely aware that a                 |
| disruption to satellite systems would affect the runnin   | g of critical services. A broad range of sectors in              |
| the OK including the power grid, telecommunications       | s networks, financial services, private and public               |
| anaport including the manimume sector, emergency s        | ervices and the military rely on this capability.                |
| It is important to the UK Government therefore that       | an alternative to these satellite systems, which                 |
| does not suffer from the same vulnerabilities, is         | established. Your letter and report notes that                   |
| Enhanced Loran (eLoran), being a technologically of       | dissimilar system, provides just such a resilient                |
| initiating and maintaining an operational of oran col     | is therefore supportive of any progress towards                  |
| iming services and will lend support where appropria      | ate to aid its establishment and continued use.                  |
| understand that an in-depth UK Government review          | w into the reliance of the UK's Critical National                |
| infrastructure on satellite systems is due to be publis   | hed shortly. This review will make an important                  |
| contribution towards informing the UK Government's        | understanding of resilience to GNSS disruption.                  |
| am copying this letter to the Government Chief Scie       | antific Adviser and the Deputy National Security                 |
| Adviser. A copy of this letter will also be published on  | the GOV.UK website.  |
| Yam   | dia 6  |
|   | oncerery   |
| (a.   | Sine Blen  |
| CAROLINE NO   | DKES MP  |
|   |  |

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/ 677738/January\_2018\_Annex\_B\_-\_MfGRE\_to\_Innovate\_UK\_re\_eLoran\_\_1\_\_\_1\_pdf



So ... what happens now (if anything)?





# **Blackett Revue Implementation Group** (**BRIG**)

- Reports to the National Security Council
- Chaired by Cabinet Office
- Senior policy advisers from government departments
- Meets at 6-week intervals
- Has already met twice
- Deals with the "How?" and the "Who?"

## **PNT Technical Group**

- Technical input and policy advice for the BRIG
- Government, industry and academia

# *"There is a lot of commitment in the Cabinet Office to do things"*



#### PRIORITIZING DANGERS TO THE UNITED STATES FROM THREATS TO GPS

Ranking Risks and Proposed Mitigations

#### WHITE PAPER

This paper examines risks to the United States, its Global Positioning System (GPS) and GPS signals. Other Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) have very similar characteristics as GPS. This high-level risk model may be of use when considering risks to other nations and to GNSS more generally.



Download from: rntfnd.org/Library PNT as A Single Point of Failure for Critical Infrastructure – The Problem and Solutions

Professor David Last Dana Goward Resilient Navigation & Timing Foundation

> Critical Infrastructure Protection & Resilience Europe 2018 Den Haag, Netherlands 2-4 October 2018

Picture: earthobservatory. Nasa. gov//newsroom/BlueMarble/